UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/567,160	11/10/2008	Kentaro Yano	00862.103398.	3046	
	7590 03/19/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER			
1290 Avenue of	f the Americas	PACHOL, NICHOLAS C			
NEW YORK, NY 10104-3800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/19/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No. Applicant(s)				
		10/567,	60	YANO ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Nicholas	C. Pachol	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no e ation. ry period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be ti will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>04 January 20</u>	<u>10</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is	non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 37,38 and 40-49 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are valued. Claim(s) 37,38 and 40-49 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	vithdrawn from co	onsideration.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is requ	red if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate			
_	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-52 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 47 now recites the limitation ""... in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of images...". However, "the determining step" is not previously mentioned in the claims. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 37, 38, 41, 42, and 44-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima (US 2001/0048802) in view of Obrador (US 2002/0186383).

Regarding Claim 37, Nakajima '802 teaches a recording apparatus for recording an image on a recording medium (Figure 1, element 13 and Page 4, paragraph 62) based on image data supplied from an image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 8), comprising:

a reception unit that receives a layout condition from the image supply device, wherein the layout condition specifies a layout for recording a plurality of items of image data on a recording medium, which are supplied from the image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 14).

Nakajima '802 does not teach a determination unit that determines whether or not a plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device includes a predetermined format of image data; and

a control unit that controls the recording apparatus to record the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device without overlapping with another

image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that the determination unit determines that the plurality of items of image data do not include the predetermined format of image data, and controls the recording apparatus to record the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device by overlapping with another image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that the determination unit determines that the plurality of items of image data include the predetermined format of image data.

Obrador does teach a determination unit that determines whether or not a plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device includes a predetermined format of image data (Page 2, paragraphs 23 and 24); and

a control unit that controls the recording apparatus to record the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device without overlapping with another image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that the determination unit determines that the plurality of items of image data do not include the predetermined format of image data, and controls the recording apparatus to record the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device by overlapping with another image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that the determination unit determines that the plurality of items of image data include the predetermined format of image data (Page 2, paragraphs 23 and 24).

Nakajima '802 and Obrador are combinable because they both teach printing images form a camera.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nakajima '802 with the teachings of Obrador for the purpose of properly printing the overlay without lags in processing (Obrador: Page 1, paragraph 12).

Regarding Claim 38, Nakajima '802 further teaches wherein the predetermined format of image data designates an image to be seen through with another image (Page 2, paragraph 29 and Page 5, paragraph 75).

Regarding Claim 41, Nakajima '802 teaches a recording apparatus for recording an image on a recording medium (Figure 1, element 13 and Page 4, paragraph 62) based on image data supplied from an image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 8), comprising:

a reception unit that receives a layout command for specifying a layout of recording a plurality of images on a recording medium and designation data for designating a plurality of images to be recorded, from the image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 14).

Nakajima '802 does not teach a determination unit that determines whether or not the plurality of images designated by the designation data have a predetermined format; and

a control unit that control the recording apparatus to record an image without overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command, in a case that

the determination unit determines that the plurality of images do not have the predetermined format, and controls the recording apparatus to record a specific image having the predetermined format by overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command in a case that the determination unit determines that the plurality of images have the predetermined format.

Obrador does teach a determination unit that determines whether or not the plurality of images designated by the designation data have a predetermined format (Page 2, paragraphs 23 and 24); and

a control unit that control the recording apparatus to record an image without overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command, in a case that the determination unit determines that the plurality of images do not have the predetermined format, and controls the recording apparatus to record a specific image having the predetermined format by overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command in a case that the determination unit determines that the plurality of images have the predetermined format (Page 2, paragraphs 23 and 24).

Nakajima '802 and Obrador are combinable because they both teach printing images form a camera.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nakajima '802 with the teachings of Obrador for the purpose of properly printing the overlay without lags in processing (Obrador: Page 1, paragraph 12).

Regarding Claim 42, Nakajima '802 further teaches wherein the specific image is an image to be seen through another image (Page 2, paragraph 29 and Page 5, paragraph 75).

Regarding Claim 44, Nakajima '802 further teaches wherein the specific image has a predetermined file name or arranged in a predetermined folder (Page 2, paragraph 29).

Regarding Claim 45, Nakajima '802 further teaches further comprising a setting unit that sets an order of overlapping images in accordance with an order of designation of images among the images designated by the designation data (Page 5, paragraph 75).

Regarding Claim 46, Nakajima '802 teaches a recording method (Page 1, paragraph 2) of recording an image on a recording medium (Figure 1, element 13) based on image data supplied from an image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 8), comprising the steps of:

receiving a layout condition from the image supply device, wherein the layout condition specifies a layout of recording a plurality of items of image data on a recording medium, which are supplied from the image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 14).

Nakajima '802 does not teach determining whether or not the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device include a predetermined format of image data; and

recording the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device without overlapping with another image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of items of image data do not include the predetermined format of image data, and recording the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device by overlapping with another image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of items of image data include the predetermined format of image data.

Obrador does teach determining whether or not the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device include a predetermined format of image data (Page 2, paragraphs 23 and 24); and

recording the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device without overlapping with another image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of items of image data do not include the predetermined format of image data, and recording the plurality of items of image data supplied from the image supply device by overlapping with another image data in accordance with the layout condition in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of items of image data include the predetermined format of image data (Page 2, paragraphs 23 and 24).

Nakajima '802 and Obrador are combinable because they both teach printing images form a camera.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nakajima '802 with the teachings of Obrador for the purpose of properly printing the overlay without lags in processing (Obrador: Page 1, paragraph 12).

Regarding Claim 47, Nakajima '802 teaches a recording method (Page 1, paragraph 2)of recording an image on a recording medium (Figure 1, element 13 and Page 4, paragraph 62) based on image data supplied from an image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 8), comprising the steps of:

receiving a layout command for specifying a layout of recording a plurality of images on a recording medium and designation data for designating a plurality of images to be recorded, from the image supply device (Page 1, paragraph 14).

Nakajima '802 does not teach recording an image without overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command, in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of images do not have the predetermined format, and recording a specific image having the predetermined format by overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of images have the predetermined format.

Obrador does teach recording an image without overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command, in a case that it is determined in the

determining step that the plurality of images do not have the predetermined format, and recording a specific image having the predetermined format by overlapping with another image in accordance with the layout command in a case that it is determined in the determining step that the plurality of images have the predetermined format (Page 2, paragraphs 23 and 24).

Nakajima '802 and Obrador are combinable because they both teach printing images form a camera.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nakajima '802 with the teachings of Obrador for the purpose of properly printing the overlay without lags in processing (Obrador: Page 1, paragraph 12).

Regarding Claim 48, Nakajima '802 further teaches a computer readable recording medium characterized by storing a program for causing a computer to execute a recording method according to claim 46 (Page 1, paragraph 2).

Regarding Claim 49, Nakajima '802 further teaches a computer readable recording medium characterized by storing a program for causing a computer to execute a recording method according to claim 47 (Page 1, paragraph 2).

Art Unit: 2625

7. Claims 40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakajima (US 2001/0048802) in view of Obrador (US 2002/0186383) further in view of Nakajima (US 2004/0012821).

Regarding Claim 40, Nakajima '802 in view of Obrador does not teach wherein the predetermined format of image data or other than JPEG.

Nakajima '821 does teach wherein any one of the formats includes JPEG or other than JPEG (Page 5, paragraph 97).

Nakajima '802 and Nakajima '821 are combinable because they both teach transferring images form a camera to a printer for printing.

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nakajima '802 in view of Obrador with the teachings of Nakajima '821 for the purpose of being able to use any compatible format (Nakajima '821: Page 5, paragraph 97).

Regarding Claim 43, Nakajima '802 in view of Obrador does not teach wherein the specific image has a predetermined format of image other than JPEG.

Nakajima '821 does teach wherein the specific image has a predetermined format of image other than JPEG (Page 5, paragraph 97).

Nakajima '802 and Nakajima '821 are combinable because they both teach transferring images form a camera to a printer for printing.

Art Unit: 2625

Therefore it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nakajima '802 in view of Obrador with the teachings of Nakajima '821 for the purpose of being able to use any compatible format (Nakajima '821: Page 5, paragraph 97).

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas C. Pachol whose telephone number is 571-270-3433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thr, 8:00 a.m.- 4:00 p.m. (EST), Fridays off.

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler L. Haskins can be reached on 571-272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. C. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2625 03/03/10

> /Twyler L. Haskins/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625